

**2021 ASME V&V Symposium Presentation Rubric**

**Poster #:**

**Presenter's Name:**

**Judge's Name:**

**Rate each item on a scale from 0 -3**

**( 0-No attempt; 1-Marginal; 2-Proficient;3-Excellent)**

**1) Technical Quality**

|  |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| a) Problem in the field clearly explained                            | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| b) Clearly stated questions or hypotheses                            | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| c) Clearly presented research objectives                             | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| d) Literature review with proper references included                 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| e) Methods clearly described and are appropriate                     | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| f) Figures/tables used appropriately and clearly to present the data | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| g) Analysis is well explained and appropriately applied              | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| h) Conclusions support the results                                   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| i) Appropriate Acknowledgements made                                 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| j) Innovation of the the study and contribution to the field         | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

**2) Presentation Quality**

|  |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| a) Aesthetic/organization of poster                        | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| b) Presenter is poise (e.g. good public speaking)          | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| c) Presenter answered questions well                       | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| d) Mechanics (e.g., spelling errors, grammar errors, etc.) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

**3) Overall Poster / Presentation Quality**

|  |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--|---|---|---|---|

**4) Total**

**Special Comments:**